

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2007-170575-001 DT

01/11/2008

COMMISSIONER JULIE P. NEWELL

CLERK OF THE COURT
M. Boudreaux
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

CAROLYN J ROBINSON

v.

NATHANIEL ISAAC STILWELL (001)

JASON D LAMM

JUDGE GORDON
VICTIM SERVICES DIV-CA-CCC

INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

9:11 a.m.

Courtroom 902

State's Attorney:	Colleen Thomas
Defendant's Attorney:	Jason Lamm
Defendant:	Present

A record of the proceedings is made by audio and/or videotape in lieu of a court reporter.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT Defendant advises his true name as **Nathaniel Isaac Stilwell**, which includes adding the middle name of Isaac.

The Court has conducted an initial pretrial conference (IPTC) this date.

Based upon the avowals made to the Court by the parties, the Court finds/orders as follows:

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2007-170575-001 DT

01/11/2008

The Court finds that the State did comply with Rule 15.1(a) as previously ordered by the Court.

The State has complied with all discovery under Rule 15.1(b).

The Defense has complied with all discovery under Rule 15.2(b).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the State and the Defendant shall comply with Rule 15.1(e) and Rule 15.2(e) within 25 days of the IPTC.

Any request to extend the deadline set this date must be done pursuant to Rule 15.6(d). Failure to request an extension may result in the preclusion of the evidence.

IT IS ORDERED directing counsel to set up and participate in a settlement conference prior to the plea cut off date. The settlement conference shall be scheduled prior to the Comprehensive Pretrial Conference.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 17.4(a) that counsel with authority to settle the case shall participate in a good faith discussion with the settlement court regarding a non-jury or no-trial resolution which conforms to the interests of justice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for Defendant shall conduct a conflicts check for all witnesses listed by the State, and if necessary counsel for Defendant shall file a Motion to Withdraw.

A Comprehensive Pretrial Conference is set for 02/12/2008 at 8:30 a.m. before Judge Gordon.

Jury Trial is set for 03/20/2008 at 9:30 a.m. before Judge Gordon.

Last Day: 04/21/2008 (0 DAYS EXCLUDED)

A DEFENDANT'S FAILURE TO APPEAR AT THE COMPREHENSIVE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE OR THE TRIAL MAY RESULT IN A BENCH WARRANT BEING ISSUED FOR HIS OR HER ARREST AND THE CPC AND TRIAL BEING CONDUCTED IN THE DEFENDANT'S ABSENCE.

THE TRIAL DATE SHALL NOT BE CONTINUED UNLESS A WRITTEN MOTION TO CONTINUE IS FILED AT LEAST 5 DAYS BEFORE THE TRIAL. A CONTINUANCE

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2007-170575-001 DT

01/11/2008

WILL NOT BE GRANTED UNLESS THE MOTION SHOWS THAT EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST. (Rule 8.5, Rules of Criminal Procedure and guidelines thereto.)

Defendants seeking reconsideration rulings of the Court on a Motion to Modify Release conditions or a Motion for Rule 11, said motion shall be done by a Motion for Reconsideration. All Motions for Reconsideration, however denominated, shall be submitted without oral argument or without response or reply. No Motion for Reconsideration shall be granted without the Court granting an opportunity for a Response.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED affirming prior custody orders.

9:14 a.m. Matter concludes.

NOTICE: IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF COUNSEL TO NOTIFY THE COURT BEFORE WHICH A HEARING WILL BE HELD 48 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF ANY HEARING NEEDING AN INTERPRETER FOR A VICTIM OR A WITNESS. (10 BUSINESS DAYS FOR ANY LANGUAGE OTHER THAN SPANISH.)

LATER:

LET THE RECORD REFLECT the Court notes that video tape of the alleged incident regarding the co-defendant had not been disclosed, therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the State comply and produce the following discovery by 02/01/2008: Video tape of alleged transaction.